

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/821,474	03/30/2001	Monica K. Davis	64951-147/SP01-050	8851	
75	590 07/02/2002				
Foley & Lardner ATTN: Andrew E. Rawlins Suite 500 3000 K Street, NW Washington, DC 20007-5109			EXAMINER		
			DERRINGTON, JAMES H		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
			1731		
			DATE MAILED: 07/02/2002		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	·				14.1		
		Application	on No.	Applicant(s)	•		
		09/821,47	' 4	DAVIS ET AL.			
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit			
		James De		1731			
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communicate	ation appears on the	cover sheet wit	h the correspondence a	ddress		
	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOI	D DEDI V IS SET T	O EXPIRE 3 MC	NTH(S) FROM			
THE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu - Any	MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE MODIFICATION OF THE MODI	ATION. 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no evenication. days, a reply within the statuatory period will apply and will, by statute, cause the apple.	ent, however, may a re utory minimum of thirty II expire SIX (6) MONT ication to become ABA	ply be timely filed (30) days will be considered time HS from the mailing date of this of the considered the considered time.	ely. communication.		
Status							
1)	Responsive to communication(s) filed						
2a) <u></u> □		b) This action is					
3) <u> </u>	Since this application is in condition for closed in accordance with the practic	for allowance excepted under <i>Ex parte Q</i>	t for formal matt uayle, 1935 C.D	ters, prosecution as to	ne ments is		
<u>-</u>	ion of Claims	onligation					
4)🖂	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are		nsideration				
5 _	•	William Homeon	nsideration.				
	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
·	Claim(s) <u>1-24</u> is/are rejected.						
•	Claim(s) is/are objected to. Claim(s) are subject to restriction	on and/or election re	aquirement				
_	ion Papers	on and/or election is	equirement.				
	The specification is objected to by the	Examiner.					
, —	The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a		objected to by th	ne Examiner.			
7-7	Applicant may not request that any object						
11)	The proposed drawing correction filed						
	If approved, corrected drawings are requ						
12)	The oath or declaration is objected to b	by the Examiner.					
Priority (under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for	or foreign priority un	der 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).			
a)	☐ All b)☐ Some * c)☐ None of:						
	1. Certified copies of the priority de	locuments have bee	n received.				
	2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
	3. Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internal	tional Bureau (PCT	Rule 17.2(a)).		l Stage		
	See the attached detailed Office action		·		al application)		
•	Acknowledgment is made of a claim for				агаррисацоп).		
	 The translation of the foreign lang Acknowledgment is made of a claim for 						
Attachmer	at(s)						
2) Notice	ce of References Cited (PTO-892) ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTomation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pap		<i>'</i> =	Summary (PTO-413) Paper N nformal Patent Application (P			

Application/Control Number: 09/821,474

Art Unit: 1731

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 and 7-12 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as anticipated by or, in the alternative, under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as obvious over Matsummura et al (4,426,129).

Matsummura et al disclose the process of making a perform for fiber drawing comprising depositing successive layers of optical material inside a tube and then collapsing the successive layers in a reducing atmosphere comprising GeCl₄ (See Abstract, see examples and Col. 12, lines 14-16). The preform is then subjected to drawing procedures (Col. 12, lines 16-17). Applicant's definition of "positive pressure" can be 0 to 1.0 torr (claim 2), 0 to .5 torr (claim 3) or .2 to .4 torr (claim 4).

Matsummura et al disclose vacuum pressures for collapsing the tube such as 9mm water or 27 mm of water at Col. 7, lines 8-12 and explains the effect of the level of vacuum (compare Fig 5a and 5B). Further at Col. 13, lines 61-65, a level of vacuum of 1 to 20 mm water is disclosed. A degree of vacuum of .5 cc (mm) of water at 4 °C converts to about .37 torr. Thus the range of 1 to 20 mm water as shown by

Application/Control Number: 09/821,474

Art Unit: 1731

al disclose a degree of vacuum of .20 mm Hg which converts to .2 torr as recited in claim 4 (Table, Col. 9). But in addition, one of ordinary skill in the art would be able to decide the level of vacuum needed to achieve collapsing of the layers in view of the teachings of Matsummura et al as discussed above. With regard to claims 7-8, Matsummura et al disclose both boron and Ge doping (See Col. 3, line 9 ff and examples).

Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsummura et al (4,426,129) as applied to claims 1-5 and 7-12 above, and further in view of Fanucci et al (4,465,707). Fanucci et al disclose a related process where argon and/or helium gas is employed during vapor deposition production of performs employing GeCl₄ (See Col. 3, lines 39-51). It would have been obvious to additionally use He or Argon with the process of Matsummura et al for the art intended purpose.

Claims 13-14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsummura et al (4,426,129) as applied to claims 1-5 and 7-12 above, and further in view of Campion et al (6,201,917). Campion et al disclose a related process and teach that in general fiber-drawing tension lies in the range of 10 to 250 g and preferably in the range 30 to 150 g (sentence bridging Cols. 6 and 7). It would have been obvious to use a drawing tension of 150 g with the process of Matsummura et al since this tension is generally used by the prior art.

Claims 15-16 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsummura et al (4,426,129) as applied to claims 1-5 and 7-12 above, and further in view of Ohga et al (5,763,081).

Application/Control Number: 09/821,474

Art Unit: 1731

Ohga et al (5,763,081) disclose that fibers can be drawn from GeO₂ and SiO₂ at temperatures of about 2100 C° (example 2) or 2000 C° (See Col. 10, line 13). It would have been obvious to use these temperatures for drawing the fibers of Matsummura et al for the art disclosed purposes.

Claims 17-24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsummura et al (4,426,129) as applied to claims 1-5 and 7-12 above, and further in view of Atkins et al (5,745,615).

Atkins et al disclose a process of exposing a Ge doped silica fiber to UV for the production of gratings (See Abstract, Title and Col. 2, lines 57-58). The exposure times of claims 18-21 fall within the teachings of Atkins et al (Fig. 1). The process produces useful Bragg gratings, long period gratings and gratings serving to stabilize the output of lasers (See Col. 6, lines 32-35 and Col. 5, lines 33-34). It would have been obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to use the procedures of Atkins et al with the optical fibers produced by Matsummura et al for the purpose of producing useful gratings as disclosed by Atkins et al.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James Derrington whose telephone number is 703 308-3832. The examiner can normally be reached on 8:30am - 5pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on 703 308-1164. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703 305-7718 for regular communications and 703 872-9311 for After Final communications.

Art Unit: 1731

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703 308 0661.

June 30, 2002

JAMES DERRINGTON PRIMARY EXAMINER
ART UNIT 137-/73/